Applicant: Pawel Pieczul Attorney's Docket No.: 10559-872001 / P17391

Serial No.: 10/661,365

Filed: September 11, 2003

Page : 8 of 9

REMARKS

In response to the office action mailed on March 23, 2006, applicant has amended claim 11 and canceled claims 37-39. Claims 1-36 are pending in the application, of which claims 1, 11, 21, 31, and 34 are independent. Reconsideration and further examination are requested

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §101

The examiner has rejected claims 11-20 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. The applicant has amended the claims to recite "a computer program product, tangibly embodied in a machine readable storage device." Therefore, this rejection should be withdrawn.

Allowable subject matter

The examiner has indicated that claims 1-10 and 21-36 are allowed.

Independent claim 11 includes similar limitations to claim 1 and is patentable for at least the reasons claim 1 is patentable. Claims 12-21 depend from independent claim 11, and are patentable for at least the same reasons that independent claim 11 is patentable.

Summary

The applicant asks that all claims be allowed.

Applicant believes that all rejections have been addressed. The fact that the applicant has addressed certain comments of the examiner does not mean that the applicant concedes any other position of the examiner. The fact that the applicant has asserted certain grounds for the patentability of a claim does not mean that there are not other good grounds for patentability of that claim or other claims.

Please apply any charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

Applicant: Pawel Pieczul Serial No.: 10/661,365

Filed: September 11, 2003

Page : 9

: 9 of 9

Attorney's Docket No.: 10559-872001 / P17391

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 4/25/00

Fish & Richardson P.C. 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110

Telephone: (617) 542-5070 Facsimile: (617) 542-8906

21298976.doc

Tonya S. Drake Reg. No. 57,861